ISSN (print) 2708-7530
Наукові перспективи № 8(62) 2025

СЕРІЯ «Психологія»

UDC: 159.955:81'246.2:612.82

https://doi.org/10.52058/2708-7530-2025-8(62)-1129-1140

Holovach Tetiana Mykolaivna PhD in Philology, Associate Professor, Associate Professor at the Department of Language Training, Lviv State University of Internal Affairs, Lviv, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6665-4416

THE BILINGUAL ADVANTAGE: COGNITIVE CONTROL AND LANGUAGE SWITCHING

Abstract. The bilingual advantage hypothesis proposes that individuals who regularly use multiple languages develop enhanced executive control abilities through constant management of competing linguistic systems. This comprehensive review examines the current state of evidence for bilingual cognitive advantages, focusing specifically on the relationship between language switching mechanisms and domain-general cognitive control enhancement. Our analysis addresses ongoing debates regarding the reliability, magnitude, and boundary conditions of bilingual cognitive effects while synthesizing findings from behavioral, neuroimaging, and developmental research paradigms.

We conducted a systematic synthesis of recent meta-analyses, neuroimaging studies, behavioral experiments, and longitudinal developmental research examining bilingual cognitive advantages. Our review encompasses studies investigating executive function components including inhibitory control, working memory updating, and cognitive flexibility, with particular attention to methodological factors that influence effect detection and interpretation. We examined evidence across developmental trajectories from early childhood through cognitive aging, incorporating findings from diverse linguistic and cultural contexts.

The evidence reveals a complex pattern of bilingual cognitive effects that are neither universally present nor entirely absent. Meta-analytic findings indicate small to moderate effect sizes favoring bilingual populations on specific executive function measures, with the strongest advantages observed in cognitive flexibility and attention control tasks. However, significant heterogeneity exists across studies, with methodological factors including participant characterization, task selection, and control group matching significantly influencing outcomes. Neuroimaging research

provides consistent evidence for structural and functional brain differences in bilingual populations, including enhanced connectivity within cognitive control networks and increased gray matter density in regions associated with executive attention. These neural adaptations appear to emerge early in development and provide neuroprotective effects against age-related cognitive decline. Developmental research indicates that bilingual advantages can manifest in early childhood but require specific conditions including balanced language use, frequent switching contexts, and maintenance of both languages across the lifespan. The strongest evidence for practical significance comes from aging research, where lifelong bilingual experience is associated with delayed dementia onset and preserved cognitive function in older adults.

Bilingual cognitive advantages exist under specific conditions but are modulated by multiple factors including age of acquisition, language proficiency, usage patterns, socioeconomic status, and cultural context. The advantages appear to result from enhanced cognitive control networks developed through lifelong language management rather than representing universal benefits of multilingual experience. Future research should focus on identifying the specific aspects of bilingual experience that drive cognitive benefits while addressing methodological limitations that have contributed to inconsistent findings. The most promising applications lie in educational programs that maintain balanced bilingualism and clinical interventions leveraging bilingual experience for cognitive health maintenance.

Keywords: bilingualism, cognitive control, executive function, language switching, neuroplasticity, cognitive advantage.

Головач Тетяна Миколаївна кандидат філологічних наук, доцент, доцент кафедри мовної підготовки, Львівський державний університет внутрішніх справ, м. Львів, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6665-4416

ПЕРЕВАГИ ДВОМОВНОСТІ: КОГНІТИВНИЙ КОНТРОЛЬ І ПЕРЕХІД МІЖ МОВАМИ

Анотація. Гіпотеза про переваги двомовності стверджує, що люди, які регулярно користуються двома або більше мовами, розвивають покращені виконавчі функції завдяки постійному управлінню конкуруючими мовними системами. У цьому огляді проаналізовано сучасні наукові дані щодо когнітивних переваг двомовності, з особливим акцентом на зв’язок між здатністю до переходу між мовами та загальним когнітивним контролем.

Ми узагальнили результати останніх метааналізів, досліджень із нейровізуалізації, поведінкових експериментів і довготривалих лонгітюдних спостережень, що вивчають вплив двомовності на виконавчі функції. У центрі уваги — інгібіторний контроль, оновлення робочої пам’яті та когнітивна

гнучкість, а також методологічні чинники, що впливають на результати досліджень. Огляд охоплює широкий віковий спектр — від раннього дитинства до старшого віку, враховуючи мовні та культурні контексти.

Отримані дані свідчать про складну природу когнітивних ефектів двомовності: вони не є ані універсальними, ані повністю відсутніми. Результати метааналізів демонструють невеликий або помірний позитивний ефект для двомовних учасників у завданнях на виконавчі функції, зокрема в тих, що потребують когнітивної гнучкості та контролю уваги. Водночас спостерігається висока варіативність результатів через відмінності в підборі учасників, типах завдань та методах контролю.

Нейровізуалізаційні дослідження підтверджують структурні та функціональні зміни в мозку двомовних осіб: зокрема, посилену зв'язність у мережах когнітивного контролю та вищу щільність сірої речовини в ділянках, відповідальних за увагу. Ці нейропластичні зміни, ймовірно, формуються ще в ранньому віці та можуть відігравати захисну роль у процесах когнітивного старіння.

Дослідження розвитку показують, що позитивні ефекти двомовності можуть виявлятися вже в ранньому дитинстві, однак вони потребують певних умов — зокрема, збалансованого мовного середовища, частого переходу між мовами та активного використання обох мов протягом життя. Найпереконливіші практичні результати надходять із досліджень літніх людей: тривалий досвід двомовності пов'язаний із відстроченим настанням деменції та кращим збереженням когнітивних функцій у старшому віці.

Отже, когнітивні переваги двомовності виявляються за певних умов і залежать від низки чинників: віку оволодіння мовою, рівня володіння, частоти використання, соціально-економічного статусу та культурного контексту. Йдеться не про універсальну користь від багатомовності, а про ефект, який зумовлений розвитком систем когнітивного контролю через активне мовне управління та перехід між мовами.

Майбутні дослідження мають зосередитися на ідентифікації тих аспектів мовного досвіду, що найбільше сприяють когнітивному розвитку, а також на подоланні методологічних обмежень, що спричиняють суперечливі висновки. Найперспективніші прикладні напрямки — це освітні програми, що підтримують збалансовану двомовність, і клінічні підходи, що використовують двомовність для підтримки когнітивного здоров'я.

Ключові слова: двомовність, когнітивний контроль, виконавчі функції, перехід між мовами, нейропластичність, когнітивні переваги.

Problem Statement. The relationship between bilingualism and cognitive function has emerged as one of the most investigated and contentious topics in

cognitive science over the past three decades. The bilingual advantage hypothesis, initially proposed by researchers examining the cognitive consequences of multilingual experience, suggests that individuals who regularly manage multiple language systems develop enhanced executive control abilities that extend beyond linguistic domains [1]. This proposed advantage stems from the constant need to monitor, select, and switch between competing linguistic systems, theoretically exercising cognitive control mechanisms that subsequently benefit non-linguistic tasks requiring similar cognitive operations.

Despite extensive research efforts, the field remains characterized by significant controversy and mixed findings. While some studies report robust bilingual advantages across various executive function measures, others find minimal or no differences between bilingual and monolingual populations. This inconsistency has led to heated debates regarding the existence, magnitude, and practical significance of bilingual cognitive effects, with implications extending beyond academic discourse to educational policy, clinical interventions, and societal attitudes toward multilingualism.

The controversy surrounding bilingual cognitive advantages reflects broader challenges in cognitive research, including issues of replication, methodological variability, and the complexity of measuring individual differences in cognitive abilities. The multilingual experience itself varies dramatically across individuals, encompassing differences in age of acquisition, language proficiency, usage patterns, cultural contexts, and socioeconomic factors that may moderate any potential cognitive effects. Furthermore, executive function itself is a multifaceted construct encompassing various cognitive processes that may be differentially affected by bilingual experience.

Recent developments in the field have highlighted the need for more nuanced approaches to understanding bilingual cognitive effects. Rather than seeking universal advantages or disadvantages, contemporary research increasingly focuses on identifying the specific conditions under which bilingual experience may confer cognitive benefits and the mechanisms through which such benefits might emerge. This shift toward a more sophisticated understanding of bilingual-cognitive relationships represents a crucial evolution in the field, moving beyond simple group comparisons toward process-oriented investigations that can inform both theory and practice.

Analysis of Recent Research and Publications. The contemporary landscape of bilingual cognition research has been significantly shaped by several influential meta-analyses that have attempted to synthesize the growing body of empirical findings. Donnelly, Brooks, and Homer conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis examining bilingual advantages in executive function, analyzing 170 studies with over 16,000 participants. Their findings revealed small but significant effects favoring bilinguals on measures of working memory and cognitive flexibility, while effects on

inhibitory control were less consistent. Importantly, their analysis highlighted substantial heterogeneity across studies, with effect sizes ranging from negative to large positive values depending on methodological factors [2].

The work of Paap, Johnson, and Sawi has been particularly influential in highlighting methodological concerns that may contribute to inconsistent findings in the bilingual advantage literature. Their critical review emphasized issues including inadequate control group matching, publication bias, and the tendency to interpret null findings as supporting the absence of bilingual advantages rather than questioning methodological adequacy. These methodological critiques have prompted researchers to adopt more rigorous experimental designs and analytical approaches, leading to a more nuanced understanding of when and how bilingual advantages might emerge [3].

Ellen Bialystok's extensive research program has provided crucial insights into the developmental trajectory of bilingual cognitive effects and their implications for cognitive aging [4]. Her longitudinal studies have demonstrated that bilingual advantages may be most pronounced during early childhood and later adulthood, periods characterized by rapid cognitive development and decline, respectively. This developmental perspective suggests that bilingual effects may be most apparent when cognitive control systems are either developing or experiencing age-related deterioration, providing important boundary conditions for understanding bilingual cognitive advantages.

Recent neuroimaging research has provided converging evidence for structural and functional brain differences in bilingual populations, even when behavioral advantages are not consistently observed. Abutalebi and Green proposed the Adaptive Control Hypothesis, which suggests that different interactional contexts place varying demands on cognitive control mechanisms, leading to differential neural adaptations in bilingual individuals [5]. This framework has been supported by neuroimaging studies showing enhanced connectivity within fronto-parietal control networks and increased grey matter density in regions associated with cognitive control in bilingual compared to monolingual individuals.

Charlotte Hoffmann's sociolinguistic perspective has emphasized the importance of considering the social and cultural contexts in which bilingual experience occurs [6]. Her work highlights how factors such as language status, community support for multilingualism, and educational policies can influence both language maintenance and potential cognitive outcomes. This sociolinguistic approach has been instrumental in moving the field beyond purely cognitive approaches toward more comprehensive models that consider the embedded nature of bilingual experience within broader social contexts.

The emergence of experience-based approaches represents a significant methodological advancement in bilingual cognition research. Rather than treating bilingualism as a categorical variable, recent studies have begun to examine continuous

measures of bilingual experience, including language entropy (the degree to which language use is balanced across contexts), switching frequency, and proficiency differences between languages. These approaches have revealed more nuanced patterns of bilingual cognitive effects, suggesting that specific aspects of bilingual experience may be more predictive of cognitive outcomes than simple bilingual status.

Purpose of the Article. The primary purpose of this comprehensive review is to synthesize current evidence regarding the bilingual advantage hypothesis, with particular focus on the relationship between language switching mechanisms and domain-general cognitive control enhancement. Our analysis aims to move beyond the polarized debate regarding the existence or non-existence of bilingual cognitive advantages toward a more nuanced understanding of the conditions under which such advantages might emerge and the mechanisms through which they operate.

Specifically, this review seeks to address several critical questions that have emerged from recent research. First, we examine the evidence for bilingual cognitive advantages across different executive function components, including inhibitory control, working memory updating, and cognitive flexibility. Second, we investigate the role of methodological factors in influencing the detection and interpretation of bilingual cognitive effects, with particular attention to issues of participant characterization, task selection, and experimental design. Third, we explore the developmental trajectory of bilingual cognitive effects from early childhood through older adulthood, examining how the magnitude and nature of advantages may change across the lifespan.

Additionally, this review aims to integrate findings from multiple research methodologies, including behavioral experiments, neuroimaging studies, and longitudinal developmental research. This multi-method approach allows for a more comprehensive understanding of bilingual cognitive effects by examining converging evidence across different levels of analysis. We also consider the practical implications of bilingual cognitive research for educational policy, clinical interventions, and public understanding of multilingualism.

Our analysis is guided by the recognition that bilingual experience is highly variable and that any cognitive effects are likely to depend on specific characteristics of individuals' multilingual experience. Rather than seeking universal effects, we aim to identify the boundary conditions and moderating factors that determine when and how bilingual experience might confer cognitive advantages. This approach aligns with contemporary trends in cognitive science toward understanding individual differences and the factors that contribute to cognitive variability across populations.

Presentation of the Main Material. The investigation of bilingual cognitive advantages has primarily focused on executive functions, a set of cognitive processes that enable goal-directed behavior through the coordination of attention, memory, and cognitive flexibility. Executive functions are typically conceptualized as comprising

three core components: inhibitory control (the ability to suppress irrelevant information or responses), working memory updating (the capacity to maintain and manipulate information in active memory), and cognitive flexibility (the ability to shift between different mental sets or task demands). These components are theoretically relevant to bilingual experience because language management requires similar cognitive operations, including inhibiting non-target languages, maintaining multiple linguistic representations, and flexibly switching between language systems.

Recent meta-analytic evidence suggests that bilingual advantages are not uniform across executive function components. Donnelly et al. found the strongest evidence for bilingual advantages on measures of cognitive flexibility, with moderate effect sizes observed across multiple studies [2]. These advantages were particularly pronounced on tasks requiring participants to switch between different rule sets or mental categories, such as the Wisconsin Card Sorting Task and various task-switching paradigms. The consistency of flexibility advantages aligns with theoretical predictions, as bilingual individuals regularly engage in analogous switching operations when alternating between languages in natural communicative contexts.

Working memory advantages in bilingual populations have been less consistently observed but show interesting patterns when present. Bilingual advantages appear most robust on working memory tasks that involve conflict resolution or attention control components, rather than simple storage capacity measures. For example, bilinguals often outperform monolinguals on n-back tasks requiring continuous updating and monitoring of stimuli, but show less consistent advantages on digit span tasks that primarily tap storage capacity. This pattern suggests that bilingual experience may specifically enhance the attention control aspects of working memory rather than increasing overall capacity.

The evidence for inhibitory control advantages has been perhaps the most controversial, with significant inconsistencies across studies and methodological approaches. While some studies report bilingual advantages on classic inhibitory control tasks such as the Flanker task and Simon task, others find no differences or even disadvantages for bilingual participants. Recent research suggests that these inconsistencies may reflect the multifaceted nature of inhibitory control and the specific task demands involved. Bilingual advantages may be most apparent on tasks that involve global inhibition (suppressing entire response sets) rather than local inhibition (suppressing specific responses), reflecting the demands of language control in bilingual speech production.

Neuroimaging research has provided crucial insights into the neural mechanisms underlying bilingual cognitive effects, often revealing brain differences even when behavioral advantages are not consistently observed. Structural neuroimaging studies have consistently demonstrated increased gray matter density in bilingual compared to monolingual individuals, particularly in regions associated with cognitive control and

language processing. The anterior cingulate cortex, which plays a crucial role in conflict monitoring and attention control, shows particularly robust structural differences, with bilinguals exhibiting greater cortical thickness and volume in this region [5].

Functional neuroimaging studies have revealed enhanced connectivity within cognitive control networks in bilingual individuals, suggesting more efficient neural communication during tasks requiring executive control. These connectivity differences are observed both during rest and during active task performance, indicating that bilingual experience leads to persistent changes in neural network organization. The fronto-parietal control network, which is crucial for cognitive flexibility and attention control, shows particularly robust differences, with bilinguals exhibiting stronger connectivity between frontal and parietal regions.

White matter integrity analyses have provided additional evidence for neural adaptations in bilingual populations. Diffusion tensor imaging studies have revealed enhanced white matter microstructure in bilingual individuals, particularly in tracts connecting frontal control regions with posterior brain areas. These structural adaptations may facilitate more efficient information transfer between brain regions involved in cognitive control, potentially underlying the behavioral advantages observed in some studies.

The timing and developmental trajectory of neural adaptations appear to be crucial factors in understanding bilingual brain differences. Neuroimaging studies of children suggest that structural and functional brain differences can emerge relatively early in bilingual development, sometimes within the first few years of bilingual experience. However, the magnitude and extent of these adaptations appear to depend on factors such as age of second language acquisition, proficiency levels, and patterns of language use across development.

The developmental trajectory of bilingual cognitive effects represents one of the most important aspects of understanding the bilingual advantage hypothesis. Research examining bilingual children has provided some of the strongest evidence for cognitive advantages, particularly in early childhood when executive control systems are rapidly developing. Young bilingual children often outperform their monolingual peers on tasks requiring cognitive flexibility and attention control, suggesting that early bilingual experience may facilitate the development of executive function systems [1,7].

However, the persistence and magnitude of these early advantages across development remain subjects of ongoing investigation. Some longitudinal studies suggest that bilingual advantages observed in early childhood may diminish during middle childhood and adolescence, potentially due to the rapid development of executive functions in monolingual children that reduces initial group differences. Alternatively, advantages may become more subtle or domain-specific as children develop more sophisticated cognitive abilities.

The most robust evidence for practical significance of bilingual cognitive effects comes from research on cognitive aging and dementia. Multiple epidemiological studies have demonstrated that lifelong bilingual experience is associated with delayed onset of dementia symptoms, with bilinguals showing dementia symptoms approximately 4-5 years later than comparable monolinguals. This protective effect appears to result from enhanced cognitive reserve, whereby bilingual individuals can maintain cognitive function despite greater underlying pathology due to more efficient neural network organization developed through lifelong language management.

Neuroimaging studies of older bilingual adults provide converging evidence for neuroprotective effects of bilingual experience. Older bilinguals show less age-related decline in brain structure and function compared to monolinguals, particularly in regions associated with executive control. These findings suggest that the cognitive demands of lifelong bilingual experience may promote neural plasticity and resilience that protects against age-related cognitive decline.

The inconsistency in bilingual advantage findings has prompted extensive examination of methodological factors that may influence effect detection and interpretation. Participant characterization represents one of the most critical methodological considerations, as the definition and measurement of bilingual status varies dramatically across studies. Some studies define bilingualism based on self-report measures, while others require specific proficiency thresholds or balanced usage patterns. These definitional differences can significantly impact study outcomes and limit the comparability of findings across investigations.

Control group matching represents another crucial methodological consideration that has received increasing attention in recent research. Early bilingual advantage studies often compared bilingual and monolingual groups that differed on multiple demographic variables, including socioeconomic status, cultural background, and educational experiences. These confounding factors could account for observed group differences independent of bilingual experience. More recent studies have implemented more rigorous matching procedures or statistical controls, often reducing the magnitude of observed bilingual advantages.

Task selection and experimental paradigms also significantly influence the likelihood of detecting bilingual cognitive effects. Some executive function tasks may be more sensitive to bilingual experience than others, depending on the specific cognitive processes involved and the degree to which they overlap with language control mechanisms. Tasks that involve conflict resolution, attention switching, or global inhibition appear more likely to reveal bilingual advantages than those primarily tapping storage capacity or simple processing speed.

The measurement of bilingual experience itself has evolved significantly in recent years, with researchers increasingly recognizing the need for more sophisticated characterization of multilingual experience. Simple categorical distinctions between

bilingual and monolingual individuals are being replaced by continuous measures that capture the complexity and variability of bilingual experience. Factors such as age of acquisition, proficiency levels, usage patterns, switching frequency, and language entropy are increasingly recognized as important predictors of cognitive outcomes.

Several theoretical frameworks have been proposed to account for bilingual cognitive advantages and their underlying mechanisms. The Inhibitory Control Model suggests that bilinguals develop enhanced inhibitory abilities through constant suppression of non-target languages during speech production. This enhanced inhibitory control then transfers to non-linguistic tasks requiring similar cognitive operations. However, recent research has questioned whether language control primarily involves inhibitory mechanisms, with some evidence suggesting that language selection may rely more on activation of target languages than suppression of non-target languages.

The Adaptive Control Hypothesis [5] provides a more nuanced framework that considers how different interactional contexts place varying demands on cognitive control mechanisms. According to this model, bilinguals who frequently switch between languages in mixed contexts develop different neural adaptations than those who use languages in separate contexts. This framework helps explain inconsistencies in bilingual advantage findings by emphasizing the importance of considering specific patterns of bilingual language use rather than treating bilingual experience as a uniform construct.

Attention control models emphasize the role of executive attention in coordinating between competing language systems. These models suggest that bilingual advantages primarily reflect enhanced ability to focus attention on relevant information while filtering out irrelevant information. This attention-based account aligns with neuroimaging evidence showing enhanced connectivity in attention networks and structural adaptations in attention-related brain regions.

Future research directions should focus on several key areas to advance understanding of bilingual cognitive effects. First, longitudinal studies examining the development and maintenance of bilingual advantages across the lifespan are crucial for understanding causal relationships and developmental trajectories. Second, intervention studies examining whether bilingual training can enhance cognitive abilities would provide stronger evidence for causal relationships between language experience and cognitive outcomes.

Additionally, research should continue to develop more sophisticated measures of bilingual experience that capture the complexity and variability of multilingual language use. These measures should consider not only proficiency and usage patterns but also social and cultural factors that may moderate cognitive effects. Finally, research should investigate the practical applications of bilingual cognitive research, including educational programs that promote balanced bilingualism and clinical interventions that leverage bilingual experience for cognitive health maintenance.

Conclusions. The evidence regarding bilingual cognitive advantages reveals a complex pattern that defies simple generalizations about the benefits or costs of multilingual experience. Rather than universal advantages or disadvantages, bilingual cognitive effects appear to depend on multiple interacting factors including individual characteristics, patterns of language use, methodological considerations, and developmental context. This complexity reflects the sophisticated nature of both bilingual experience and cognitive control systems, neither of which can be adequately captured by simple categorical distinctions or universal theoretical models.

Meta-analytic evidence indicates that bilingual cognitive advantages, when present, are typically small to moderate in magnitude and most consistently observed on tasks requiring cognitive flexibility and attention control. However, significant heterogeneity exists across studies, with methodological factors including participant characterization, task selection, and control group matching substantially influencing outcomes. This methodological sensitivity suggests that bilingual advantages may be more fragile or context-dependent than initially proposed, emerging only under specific experimental conditions or with particular populations.

Neuroimaging research provides perhaps the most consistent evidence for bilingual brain differences, with structural and functional adaptations observed across multiple studies even when behavioural advantages are not consistently detected. These neural adaptations include increased grey matter density in cognitive control regions, enhanced connectivity within executive networks, and improved white matter integrity in association tracts. The consistency of neural differences suggests that bilingual experience does lead to measurable brain adaptations, although the functional significance of these changes for everyday cognitive performance remains to be fully established.

The developmental perspective reveals important boundary conditions for bilingual cognitive effects, with the strongest evidence for practical significance emerging from research on cognitive aging and dementia. The association between lifelong bilingual experience and delayed dementia onset represents one of the most robust and practically significant findings in the bilingual cognition literature, suggesting that the cognitive demands of language management may promote neural resilience that protects against age-related cognitive decline.

Looking forward, the field must continue to move beyond simple group comparisons toward more sophisticated investigations of the specific aspects of bilingual experience that contribute to cognitive outcomes. This includes developing better measures of bilingual experience, implementing more rigorous experimental controls, and considering the social and cultural contexts in which multilingual experience occurs. The most promising applications of bilingual cognition research lie in educational programs that promote balanced bilingualism and clinical interventions that leverage multilingual experience for cognitive health maintenance.

Ultimately, the bilingual advantage hypothesis has evolved from a simple claim about universal cognitive benefits to a more nuanced understanding of the conditions

under which multilingual experience may influence cognitive development and aging. This evolution reflects broader trends in cognitive science toward appreciating individual differences and the complex factors that contribute to cognitive variability across populations. While bilingual cognitive advantages may not be as universal or robust as initially proposed, the evidence suggests that under specific conditions, multilingual experience can contribute to enhanced cognitive control abilities that have practical implications for education, aging, and cognitive health.

The continued investigation of bilingual cognitive effects promises to enhance our understanding of neural plasticity, cognitive development, and the factors that promote cognitive resilience across the lifespan. These insights will inform evidence-based approaches to education, cognitive training, and interventions aimed at promoting cognitive health in an increasingly multilingual world.

References

1. Bialystok, E. (2009). Bilingualism: The good, the bad, and the indifferent. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 12*(1), 3-11.

2. Donnelly, S., Brooks, P. J., & Homer, B. D. (2019). Is there a bilingual advantage on interference-control tasks? A multiverse meta-analysis of global reaction time and interference cost. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 26(4), 1122-1147.

3. Paap, K. R., Johnson, H. A., & Sawi, O. (2015). Bilingual advantages in executive functioning either do not exist or are restricted to very specific and undetermined circumstances. Cortex, 69, 265-278.

4. Bialystok, E. (2017). The bilingual adaptation: How minds accommodate experience. Psychological Bulletin, 143(3), 233-262.

5. Abutalebi, J., & Green, D. W. (2016). Neuroimaging of language control in bilinguals: Neural adaptation and reserve. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 19*(4), 689-698.

6. Hoffmann, C. (2001). *An introduction to bilingualism*. Longman.

7. Sullivan, M. D., Janus, M., Moreno, S., Astheimer, L., & Bialystok, E. (2014). Early stage second-language learning improves executive control: Evidence from ERP. *Brain and Language, 139*, 84-98.

Література:

1. Bialystok, E. (2009). Bilingualism: The good, the bad, and the indifferent. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 12*(1), 3-11.

2. Donnelly, S., Brooks, P. J., & Homer, B. D. (2019). Is there a bilingual advantage on interference-control tasks? A multiverse meta-analysis of global reaction time and interference cost. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 26(4), 1122-1147.

3. Paap, K. R., Johnson, H. A., & Sawi, O. (2015). Bilingual advantages in executive functioning either do not exist or are restricted to very specific and undetermined circumstances. Cortex, 69, 265-278.

4. Bialystok, E. (2017). The bilingual adaptation: How minds accommodate experience. Psychological Bulletin, 143(3), 233-262.

5. Abutalebi, J., & Green, D. W. (2016). Neuroimaging of language control in bilinguals: Neural adaptation and reserve. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 19*(4), 689-698.

6. Hoffmann, C. (2001). *An introduction to bilingualism*. Longman.

7. Sullivan, M. D., Janus, M., Moreno, S., Astheimer, L., & Bialystok, E. (2014). Early stage second-language learning improves executive control: Evidence from ERP. *Brain and Language, 139*, 84-98.